

Forest Carbon Partnership Facility

Draft Monitoring and Evaluation Framework for the FCPF

Thirteenth Meeting of the Participants Committee (PC13)

Brazzaville, Republic of Congo

October 21-22, 2012



Outline

- Background
- PC feedback: Key Questions
- Feedback from Carbon Fund Participants
- Salient points of draft framework: FMT Note 2012-11

Background (1)

- Draft Logical Framework included and presented in FMT Note 2011-10 rev. in Berlin
 - Evolved from FMT's brainstorming on what the strategic thrust of FCPF for the next few years could potentially be
- Revised Logical framework presented in FMT Note 2012-4 in Paraguay
 - PC requested FMT to prepare a draft M&E Framework for the FCPF using relevant elements of the logical framework, and the draft framework that was prepared at the time of the first evaluation of the FCPF
 - Carbon Fund resolution CFM/3/2012/3 requested a proposal for reporting progress on Carbon Fund on semi annual basis

Background (2)

- Draft M&E framework has been developed (Annex 1 to FMT Note 2012-11)
- Led by experts from Baastel (Alain Lafontaine) and ECO consult (Cornelia Sepp and Dietrich Busacker on behalf of GIZ)
- Framework includes:
 - Rationale and conceptual basis for monitoring and evaluation
 - Consideration of FCPF objectives and the Facility's operation to date

Background (3)

- Framework includes (2):
 - Revised logical framework
 - Performance Measurement Framework (PMF)¹ with indicative targets for monitoring progress including those for the Carbon Fund
 - Format for reporting progress to the PC on semi annual basis
 - Scope and frequency of future evaluations
 - Roles and responsibilities of various stakeholders

¹ The PMF is tool to be used by FMT to manage collection of data, analysis and reporting of performance data

PC Feedback: Key questions

- Feedback is solicited on the following:
 - Do you agree with the conceptual basis and scope of the proposed framework?
 - Are all the key results (outcomes and outputs) reflected in the framework (including for Carbon Fund activities)?
 - Are the building blocks to be used for data collection and reporting the right ones?
 - Do you agree with the number and scope of future evaluations?
 - Are the roles and responsibilities proposed for managing the framework clearly defined?
 - Is the format for semi-annual reporting by the FMT reasonable (including for Carbon Fund)?
- Next steps: Draft will be revised and finalized by PC14

Feedback from Carbon Fund Participants

 Draft Framework presented at Carbon Fund Meeting on Oct 17,2012

Feedback

- Overall the conceptual basis and structure proposed for monitoring and evaluation is sound
- Frequency of reporting progress to the PC/CF should be reconsidered (perhaps annual instead of semi-annual?)
- Some indicators could be more ambitious, further clarified
- Participants expressed interest in providing written feedback

Salient Features of the Draft M&E Framework

Presentation by Alain Lafontaine (Baastel) and Cornelia Sepp (ECO-Consult supported by GIZ)

Gracias

Merci

Thank you

www.forestcarbonpartnership.org