



FCPF Participants Committee PC-13

El Salvador RPP-Revised Version PC-Review



**For the PC:
USA, Colombia and Switzerland,**

Presented by the US



October, 2012

General Comments

- El Salvador has made clear progress in the R-PP over time.
- This version is a comprehensive and complete proposal
- El Salvador has taken an innovative approach, by including REDD+ as an element in the overall climate change strategy including linking their adaptation agenda with mitigation.
- The PC consulted some of the actors involved in major discussion forums on the development of REDD+ in El Salvador.
- There are still some different understandings on REDD+ readiness between government and some civil society stakeholders that have been significantly reduced, but would need to be addressed in the further process of readiness preparation.
- Main recommendations are to further improve consultation plan with civil society and in particular with indigenous peoples, and develop more specific capacity building plans for communities and other key stakeholders involved with the REDD+ program.

Standard	PC Review October 2012	Recommendations
1a: National Readiness Management Arrangements	Met	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Further clarifying how integrated REDD+ adaptation program will address specific REDD mitigation goals and adaptation goals.
Standard 1b: Information Sharing and Early Dialogue with Key Stakeholder Groups	Met	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Disseminate information about early dialogues as part of consultation process.
Standard 1c: Consultation and Participation Process	Largely Met	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Ensure that materials developed for consultation process includes information on all of the REDD+ strategy options and explains how the REDD+ program fits within broader national climate strategy •Improve consultation plan with civil society -in particular with indigenous peoples and other forest dependent communities, including ensuring wide representation to reflect diversity of views and consider how to resolve conflicts. •Consider stakeholder consultation process that will allow the exchange of information and views among different stakeholders.

<p>Standard 2a: Assessment of Land Use, Forest Law, Policy, and Governance:</p>	<p>Met</p>	<p>Additional information on the relationship of land tenure patterns with deforestation, as well as potential impact of protected areas.</p> <p>Additional analysis to provide guidelines for the development of REDD+ projects which take into consideration the high proportion of smallholdings and leasing arrangements.</p> <p>Expand assessment of information and data to implement more appropriate policies focused on carbon stock enhancement.</p>
<p>Standard 2.b: REDD-plus strategy Options:</p>	<p>Largely Met</p>	<p>Provide more information about how to assess the risk of domestic leakage.</p> <p>Improve articulation of REDD+ mitigation goals with broader climate goals</p>
<p>Standard 2.c: REDD-plus implementation framework:</p>	<p>Met</p>	<p>consider role of other institutions in phase 1 and phase 2 to help develop framework for phase 3 and ensure full participation of relevant stake holders.</p> <p>In third stage, include information about Institutional arrangement for maintaining information on Carbon stocks, forest monitoring and data sharing needs.</p>

Standard	PC Review October 2012	Recommendations
Standard 2d: Social and Environmental Impacts during Readiness Preparation and REDD-plus Implementation:	Met	
Standard 3: Reference Level:	Met	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Further consideration of information relevant for developing a relevant reference level for carbon stock increases including information on agroforestry lands •additional analysis on current capacity should be done as soon as possible.
Standard 4a: Emissions and Removals:	Met	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Ensure integration of the monitoring system with broader GHG Inventory reporting. •Consider developing more detailed ideas for enforcement and the involvement of communities in monitoring.

4b. Other Multiple Benefits, Impacts and Governance	Met	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Develop more information about community monitoring, and consider activities and budget to enhance the capacity of communities.
5. Schedule and Budget	Met	
6. Program Monitoring & Evaluation Framework	Met	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Consider the development of indicators which can clearly measure advancement and impact of program activities.