



# Forest Carbon Partnership Facility

## Session 7a: Program Evaluation

Fifth Meeting of the Participants Committee (PC5)

La Lopé, Gabon

March 22-25, 2010

# Outline

- Background
- Highlights: Draft Evaluation Framework
- Next Steps

# Background (1)

- FCPF Charter mandates evaluation:

- Article 17: Evaluation of the Operation of the Facility

- Time and Scope of the Evaluation

“The Facility shall be subject to periodic evaluation on effectiveness of its operation. The first review shall take place no later than two years after the Facility is declared operational in accordance with Section 4.2. Thereafter the Participants Committee shall determine the frequency of the evaluation.”

“The scope of evaluations shall be determined by the Participants Committee and shall include, without limitation, an assessment of the effectiveness of the governance structure of the Facility and the operational effectiveness of the Readiness Fund and the Carbon Fund.”

# Background (2)

- FCPF Charter mandates need for Evaluation as follows:
    - Article 17: Evaluation of the Operation of the Facility
      - Process of Evaluation
- “shall be conducted by an independent third party, including the Bank’s Independent Evaluation Group in line with rules of that group ”
- FCPF Info Memo
    - FCPF internal review of effectiveness of FCPF program delivery
      - FMT to assess and prepare evaluation process in consultation with the PC
      - Independent Evaluation Group or other third party evaluation of FCPF program, and/or an Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Panel reporting to the Participants Committee
    - Communication of findings and insights, and methods to countries, investors, UNFCCC

# Steps taken by FMT

- FMT has initiated preparation of a draft Evaluation Framework
  - Preparation of Draft Evaluation Questions (Initiated in October 2009)
  - Stakeholder comments invited (by Feb 7, 2010)
  - Draft revised and posted on website (March 2010)
  - Presentation of Proposed Evaluation framework at PC5 (March 2010)

# Structure: Proposed Evaluation Framework

- Objective and Scope
  - Establish a framework relevant for first evaluation
  - To provide a basis for future periodic evaluations
- Indicative questions for First Evaluation
- Other information relevant to conduct of evaluation
- Potential questions on specific topics
- List of potential interviewers for Evaluation

# Highlights: Evaluation Framework (1)

- Draft Evaluation Questions

- Scope

- Designed in the context of overall objectives of the FCPF
    - Based on DAC/OECD Results based Management Framework

- Evaluation questions with focus on Readiness phase

- Questions target the following:

- Relevance of FCPF objectives
    - Effectiveness of learning and knowledge sharing
    - Building Capacity in country for REDD plus
    - Long Term Sustainability of REDD plus
    - Efficiency of Resource Use
    - Efficiency of Governance Arrangements
    - Co-ordination and Co-operation with other processes
    - Impact of Readiness processes and FCPF program

# Highlights: Proposed Evaluation Framework (2)

- Framework to be implemented at 3 levels:
  - Global: monitoring and assessment of the FCPF as a whole
  - Country: with respect to REDD implementation in country
  - Interface of Global and Country level:
    - Interaction between global processes and implementation at the country level



# Highlights: Evaluation Framework (3)

- **Conduct of Evaluation**

- **Responsibility**

- Evaluation is the responsibility of PC on behalf of the Participants Assembly . The PC would formally commission the first evaluation.
    - FMT would facilitate the evaluation process per guidance provided by the PC, and report progress to the PC
    - FMT responsible for monitoring FCPF operations & the progress in relation to annual work plans

- **Methodology**

- To be developed by Consultants/Firms

- **Timing**

- First Evaluation to be completed by October 2010
    - Subsequent evaluations, to be decided by PC after completion of first evaluation

- **Procurement**

- Outsourced per Bank procurement policies and procedures
    - A competitive quality and cost-based selection method will likely be used.

# Next Steps

- Recommendation to PC

- The PC is requested to consider and approve the draft note to serve as the basis for FCPF evaluation.
- Consider the need of establishing a sub committee to provide oversight to the evaluation process

- Action by FMT

- If approved, the FMT, on the basis of this note, shall prepare detailed Terms of Reference and initiate the hiring of independent consultants to undertake the first evaluation



THANK YOU

[www.forestcarbonpartnership.org](http://www.forestcarbonpartnership.org)