



Proposed revisions to the FCPF Buffer Guidelines April 2024

#### **Recap of discussion at CF27**

At CF27, the Carbon Fund Participants decided to approve version 4.1 of the FCPF Buffer Guidelines (BGL), which included the following main changes:

- The equation used to estimate the amount of buffer ERs to be cancelled as a result of a reversal has been modified to reflect that all Total ERs may be subject to reversals and to establish a reversal liability limit
- ER Programs having suffered a reversal are required to replenish any Reversal Buffer and Pooled Reversal Buffer ERs they may have cancelled as a result of that reversal
- 3. An ER Program affected by a reversal shall not be to transfer any Excess ERs held in its account until it has replenished the Reversal Buffer and the Pooled Reversal Buffer

#### **Recap of discussion at CF27**

Additionally, the CFPs requested the FMT to conduct further consultations on the remaining changes it proposed to the Buffer Guidelines before CF27. These changes include:

- 1. Merging the Reversal Buffer and Pooled Reversal Buffer into a single Pooled Reversal Buffer to allow for total pooling
- 2. Cancelling Uncertainty Buffer ERs and any available Excess ERs in case of a reversal before cancelling Pooled Reversal Buffer ERs beyond the contribution of the affected ER Program to the Pooled Buffer
- 3. Disallowing the release of Uncertainty Buffer ERs in cases where the ER Program has not yet fully replenished the Pooled Reversal Buffer after a reversal
- 4. Requiring Uncertainty Buffer ERs to contribute to the Pooled Reversal Buffer when they are released due to improved ER estimations
- 5. Requiring ER Programs that have not completely replenished the Pooled Reversal Buffer at the end of the Crediting Period to cancel any remaining Excess ERs held by such Programs up to the amount required to compensate their Pooled Reversal Buffer debit
- 6. Establishing that Uncertainty Buffer ERs shall only be transferred to an equivalent buffer account at the end of the Crediting Period if the ER Program has completely replenished any Pooled Reversal Buffer debits

### A. Overview of current FCPF Buffer Guidelines (BGL) (version 4.1)



## How are reversals addressed in the FCPF BGL version 4.1?

- The FCPF Standard addresses reversals through the use of buffers, which are ER reserves that back up ERs transferred by ER Programs so that such ERs can be considered as "permanent"
- The current version (v 4.1) of the FCPF establishes two Reversal Buffers:
  - An ER Reversal Buffer for each ER Program and
  - A "shared" Pooled Reversal Buffer
- ER Programs contribute to these buffers every time they generate ERs
- The size of their contributions depends on the amount of Total ERs they have produced and the results of the application of the Reversal Risk Assessment Tool
- Additionally, the FCPF Standard establishes an Uncertainty Buffer with the purpose of managing uncertainty and providing incentives to improve the accuracy of ER estimates over time

Total volume of verified ERs generated by an ER Program in a monitoring period

Verified ERs for which the Program cannot transfer title, double counted or compensated more than once, etc.



Total volume of verified ERs generated by an ER Program in a monitoring period

Verified ERs for which the Program cannot transfer title, double counted or compensated more than once, etc.



Uncertainty Buffer (0-15% of Total ERs)



**Total ERS** 

Uncertainty Buffer (0-15% of Total ERs)



Uncertainty Buffer (0-15% of Total ERs)



Uncertainty Buffer (0-15% of Total ERs)



Uncertainty Buffer (0-15% of Total ERs)



Uncertainty Buffer (0-15% of Total ERs)



Uncertainty Buffer (0-15% of Total ERs)



#### Illustration of a large reversal scenario under the FCPF BGL version 4.1

In this scenario, an ER Program performs as follows:

- Year 0: the ER Program's ERPD is accepted and starts implementation
- Year 1: the ER Program generates ERs
- Year 2: the ER Program experiences a large reversal
- Year 3: the ER Program produces ERs again
- Year 4: the ER Program does not generate ERs, but improves its ER estimates
- Year 5: the ER Program does not generate ERs and the Crediting Period ends

The scenario aims to show a <u>simplified</u> illustration of how the BGL version 4.1 work to facilitate understanding the rationale for the changes proposed

### Year 0: the ER Program's ERPD is accepted and starts implementation



 The ER Program applies the Reversal Risk Assessment Tool in Year 0 (before the start of the crediting period) and obtains 20% as the reversal risk setaside percentage



 In Year 1, the ER Program submits a Monitoring Report that shows Total ERs for 1 million tCO2e



 In accordance with the BGL, before being able to transfer ERs or contribute to the reversal buffers, the ER Program needs to identify the applicable Conservativeness Factor based on the aggregate uncertainty of the ERs using Table 1 of the BGL



• The reported **aggregate uncertainty of these ERs is 105%,** so, following Table 1 of the BGL, the ER Program applies a **Conservativeness Factor of 15%** 



• Consequently, **150k ERs are transferred** to the **Uncertainty Buffer** 



- After transferring ERs to the Uncertainty Buffer, the ER Program has 850k ERs remaining.
- According to the BGL, this amount serves as the basis for estimating the amount of ERs that should be allocated to the two reversal buffers.
- This number is estimated by **multiplying** the **Reversal Risk Set-**Aside Percentage (20%) by the 850k ERs, for a total of 170k ERs



 Following the BGL, 5% of this amount (8.5k) is deposited into the Pooled Reversal Buffer



 The remainder is transferred to the Reversal Buffer account



25











**Pooled Reversal Buffer** 



#### Year 3: the ER Program produces ERs again



#### Year 3: the ER Program produces ERs again



#### Year 3: the ER Program produces ERs again



#### Year 4: the ER Program does not generate ERs, but improves its ER estimates



#### Year 4: the ER Program does not generate ERs, but improves its ER estimates



**Table 1. Quantification Uncertainty Conservativeness Factors** 

Aggregate Uncertainty of ERs	Conservativeness Factor
≤ 15%	0%
> 15% and ≤ 30%	4%
> 30% and ≤ 60%	8%
> 60% and ≤ 100%	12%
> 100%	15%
### Year 4: the ER Program does not generate ERs, but improves its ER estimates



debits







#### Year 0: the ER Program's ERPD is accepted and starts implementation



















#### Year 2: the ER Program experiences a large

-8.5k ERs **Pooled Reversal Buffer ER Program A** 0 am C -830k ERs -830k ERs (debit: 838.5k 10.8 If the amount of Buffer ERs in the Reversal Buffer account does not suffice to fully compensate for 161.5k ERs the Reversal, then the shortfall amount of Buffer ERs in the Reversal Buffer account shall be covered -161.5k ERs 0 ERs (debit: through an equivalent amount of Buffer ERs from the Pooled Reversal Buffer.<sup>5</sup> In this case, the World 161.5k) Bank shall instruct the Buffer Manager(s) to cancel Buffer ERs from each ER Program's Pooled **Reversal Buffer** Reversal Buffer account on a pro-rata basis. Buffer ERs shall be canceled by removing them from the Pooled Reversal Buffer account, and permanently retiring their associated serial numbers. **ER Program B** 1000 D **ER Program D** 1358.5 Balance 95k ERs **190k ERs Reversal Buffer Reversal Buffer** 

8.5k ERs



















Year 4: the ER Program does not generate ERs, but improves its ER estimates















# B. Proposed revisions to the current FCPF BGL



Additionally, the CFPs requested the FMT to conduct further consultations on the remaining changes it proposed to the Buffer Guidelines before CF27. These changes include:

- 1. Merging the Reversal Buffer and Pooled Reversal Buffer into a single Pooled Reversal Buffer to allow for total pooling
- 2. Cancelling Uncertainty Buffer ERs and any available Excess ERs in case of a reversal before cancelling Pooled Reversal Buffer ERs beyond the contribution of the affected ER Program to the Pooled Buffer
- 3. Disallowing the release of Uncertainty Buffer ERs in cases where the ER Program has not yet fully replenished the Pooled Reversal Buffer after a reversal
- 4. Requiring Uncertainty Buffer ERs to contribute to the Pooled Reversal Buffer when they are released due to improved ER estimations
- 5. Requiring ER Programs that have not completely replenished the Pooled Reversal Buffer at the end of the Crediting Period to cancel any remaining Excess ERs held by such Programs up to the amount required to compensate their Pooled Reversal Buffer debit
- 6. Establishing that Uncertainty Buffer ERs shall only be transferred to an equivalent buffer account at the end of the Crediting Period if the ER Program has completely replenished any Pooled Reversal Buffer debits



Merging the Reversal Buffer and Pooled Reversal Buffer into a single Pooled Reversal Buffer to allow for total pooling

- Why pooling?
  - Risk pooling is a strategy that combines the potential risks of a number of participants into a single pool
  - By **distributing the risks among a larger group, the impact of individual risks is reduced**, allowing for more predictable and manageable outcomes.
- The **benefits of risk pooling** include:
  - Spreading risk: the impact of individual losses is distributed among the entire pool. This reduces the burden on individual participants and provides them with protection in case of unexpected events
  - Affordability: Risk pooling makes contributions more affordable for individual participants. The contribution each policyholder pays is typically smaller than the potential losses they might face, making participation accessible to a broader population.



PLAN 1

LOWEST PREMIUM



PLAN 2 Average Premium



PLAN 3 Highest Premium



Image source: Fincash

#### Rationale for the proposed revision

Modelling by FMT has shown that the limited volume of the Pooled Buffer (5% of ERs generated after uncertainty discount) would have significant limitations to cover potential reversals, affecting the integrity of the RMM.

## FCPF CF reversal buffers' status after a potential ER Program Reversal


## FCPF CF reversal buffers' status after a potential ER Program Reversal



### FCPF CF reversal buffers' status after a potential ER Program Reversal



#### **Proposed revision**

- It is proposed to eliminate the ER Programs' Reversal Buffer accounts and to transfer all their current and any future Buffer ERs to a newly created Pooled Reversal Buffer Account
- However, each individual ER Program's Pooled Reversal Buffer contributions will be identifiable



#### Implications for ER Programs

- ER Programs will have more coverage against potentially significant reversals through the Pooled Reversal Buffer
- Contributions to the Pooled Reversal Buffer remain relatively low and increased contributions are avoided



Cancelling Uncertainty Buffer ERs and any available Excess ERs in case of a reversal before cancelling Pooled Reversal Buffer ERs beyond the contribution of the affected ER Program to the Pooled Buffer

#### **Proposed revision**

 It is proposed that ER Programs experiencing a reversal larger than their contributions to the Pooled Reversal Buffer cancel the ERs they have deposited in the Uncertainty Buffer and any available excess ERs before being able to cancel further Pooled Reversal Buffer ERs

#### Rationale for the proposed revision

 Where the contribution of an ER Program to the Pooled Reversal Buffer is not sufficient to address a reversal, Uncertainty Buffer ERs and any existing excess ERs shall be cancelled before cancelling other Program's contributions to the Pooled Reversal Buffer, thus reflecting the responsibility of the affected ER Program and the fairness of the Reversal Management Mechanism







Pooled Reversal Buffer



Pooled Reversal Buffer

81











#### Implications for ER Programs

- ER Programs affected by "large" reversals will have to cancel their Uncertainty Buffer ERs and, if available, Excess ERs, in addition to their contributions to the Pooled Reversal Buffer before affecting other Program's contributions to it
- ER Programs not affected by reversals will be guaranteed that the cancellation of parts of their ER contributions to the Pooled Reversal Buffer by other Programs will always be a "last resort" option

3

Disallowing the release of Uncertainty Buffer ERs in cases where the ER Program has not yet fully replenished the Pooled Reversal Buffer after a reversal

#### Rationale for the proposed revision

- Under the current requirements, an ER Program that, due to a reversal has a Pooled Reversal Buffer debit, could potentially release Uncertainty Buffer ERs if it managed to reduce the uncertainty of its ER estimates
- The proposed revision would make it a priority to replenish the Pooled Reversal Buffer and would avoid a situation where an ER Program could transfer Uncertainty Buffer released ERs before addressing such debit

#### **Proposed revision**

 It is proposed to introduce the condition that the release of ERs from the Uncertainty Buffer can only occur if the ER Program has completely replenished any Pooled Reversal Buffer debits



debts



transfer them dee its reversal buffer

debts

#### Implications for ER Programs

• ER Programs with reversal buffer debts will need to cover them before being able to release ERs from the Uncertainty Buffer



Requiring Uncertainty Buffer ERs to contribute to the Pooled Reversal Buffer when they are released due to improved ER estimations

#### **Proposed revision**

 It is proposed that, before being released from the Uncertainty Buffer, ERs should contribute to the Pooled Reversal Buffer account by applying the current Actual Reversal Risk Set-Aside Percentage





**Table 1. Quantification Uncertainty Conservativeness Factors** 

Aggregate Uncertainty of ERs	Conservativeness Factor
≤ 15%	0%
> 15% and ≤ 30%	4%
> 30% and ≤ 60%	8%
> 60% and ≤ 100%	12%
> 100%	15%



debts



transfer them due to its reversal buffer

debts

96





#### Implications for ER Programs

 While releasing ERs from the Uncertainty Buffer, ER Programs will need to estimate the proportion of such ERs that should be transferred to the Pooled Reversal Buffer and the "net" ERs released



Requiring ER Programs that have not completely replenished the Pooled Reversal Buffer at the end of the Crediting Period to cancel any remaining Excess ERs held by such Programs up to the amount required to compensate their Pooled Reversal Buffer debit

#### Rationale for the proposed revision

- ER Programs that reach the end of the Crediting Period with Pooled Reversal Buffer debits shall make every effort to address this situation
- The cancellation of Excess ERs, where available, is one of the most straightforward alternatives to do so

#### **Proposed revision**

 It is proposed that, if at the end of the Crediting Period an ER Program has not completely replenished the Pooled Reversal Buffer, any remaining Excess ERs held by such Program shall be cancelled up to the amount required to compensate its Pooled Reversal Buffer debit







Buffer

103

#### Implications for ER Programs

- ER Programs with **Pooled Reversal Buffer debits** holding **Excess ERs will have to use them to cover such debits** to the extent possible
- This measure may allow ER Programs to regain their capacity to transfer ERs if they have any ERs left after covering their reversal buffer debits

Establishing that Uncertainty Buffer ERs shall only be transferred to an equivalent buffer account at the end of the Crediting Period if the ER Program has completely replenished any Pooled Reversal Buffer debits

#### Rationale for the proposed revision

 Where an ER Program ends the Crediting Period with a negative balance in the Pooled Reversal Buffer, the transfer of Uncertainty Buffer ERs to another standard before addressing such debit would imply inflating the overall volume of ERs achieved by the ER Program and transferring this problem to the other standard's accounts

#### **Proposed revision**

 It is proposed that, if at the end of the Crediting Period an ER Program has not completely replenished the Pooled Reversal Buffer, the ER Program shall not be able to transfer any Uncertainty Buffer ERs remaining in its account before doing so






## Identified areas of improvement in the FCPF BGL version 4.1

## Implications for ER Programs

 ER Programs with Pooled Reversal Buffer debits holding Uncertainty Buffer ERs at the end of the Crediting Period will not be able to transfer them to another standard until they cover such debits

## **Questions?**



100 C

## Thank you



and the second